

NORTH WEST FARNHAM RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday 8th December 2016 at 8 pm

Present:

Stewart Edge (SE)
Dave King (DK)
Penny Marriott (PM)
Julie Russ (JR)
John Williamson (JW)

<p>Apologies: Dennis Banks, Stephen Spence, Keith Miller</p> <p>Minutes of previous meeting:</p> <p>The minutes were signed as an accurate record.</p> <p>Matters arising:</p> <p>There was discussion about the new footpath which runs from UCA across the bottom of the fields as SE thought that it was approved. However there is uncertainty and SE will check with Dennis Banks. If it has been approved a footpath sign should be installed.</p> <p>Treasurer's report: (PM for DB)</p> <p>The balance of the current account is £401.16 and the deposit account balance is £5,248.60. Autumn Meeting: 55 people attended, £50 was raised, but the cost of refreshments was £30. There were also contributions of £38.</p> <p>Communications received and website news</p> <p>John Price has phoned about the caravan at 15 Crondall Lane. There was no website news.</p> <p>Dates for 2017 AGM and Autumn Meeting:</p> <p>The AGM will take place on 14th June and the Autumn Meeting on 19th October.</p> <p>Fields and other planning matters: (SE)</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plan: SE said that there was no chance that our comments re landscape status and the housing numbers at Coxbridge would be taken into account. Although there was recognition of them in the first place we were not invited to say anything more at the Neighbourhood Plan meeting and nothing will be changed. The inspector questioned the Town Council to clarify the landscape position and also Coxbridge. A map was circulated showing that the Coxbridge site does not include the listed buildings. The inspector can propose that there are changes to the Plan before it goes out for referendum. The new built up area was raised by the developers but there were no discussions on specific sites. The inspector was non-committal but seemed satisfied about the town boundary. His comments are expected after Christmas and following that the Plan will be put out for a referendum for people to either agree to it or not. SE will write a piece about the Plan to put on the website.</p> <p>Waverley Plan</p> <p>The Association stated that there should be 3,400 houses at Dunsfold in comments on the draft plan but Waverley have gone for 1,800, although the Plan states there could be 2,600. A</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Action</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">SE</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SE</p>
---	---

different inspector will look at the Waverley Plan and then it goes back to Waverley Borough Council. The planning application for Dunsfold goes before the Council next week.

15 Crondall Lane

SE said he would write on NWFRA's behalf objecting to the latest application for a dwelling of some kind at 15 Crondall Lane. There have been 2 applications which were rejected and an appeal. One has been for a large mobile home and one for a caravan. The caravan is only marginally smaller and SE will repeat our previous objections and will mention the Supplementary Guidance, saying it should not be ignored. The caravan would be out of keeping with the area.

SE/PM

Pilgrims

The owner has died and so it is uncertain what will happen and no progress has been made.

Development in Old Park Lane (Jeremy Ricketts)

Jeremy Ricketts has appealed the rejection of his application and Old Park Residents Association has submitted a case for the bridleway which is privately owned and can only be used by horses and pedestrians and cannot be upgraded for a new estate. The application is with the inspector.

Bishop's Square

Bishop's Square is inside the built up area and the AGLV. It has not been considered by Waverley yet.

Any Other Business

JW has been approached by a couple of residents at the Chantries because some hedges are overgrown and are causing problems. He looked at them and discovered that they are indeed overgrown so asked Waverley to cut them. Waverley said that it is not their responsibility to do so. It might be possible to obtain some money for the works from Farnham Town Council and an e-mail should be sent to the town clerk without delay. This should state why it would be a good idea to cut the hedges and that we could supply further information if necessary. JW will supply the wording for the e-mail and PM will send it off. JW should be informed when it has been sent so that he can follow the matter up.

JW/PM

Date of next meeting: Thursday 2nd March 2017.

