

Matters outstanding:

SE has not yet contacted Guy re Facebook and PM has not written to Pat Frost.

SE, PM

Fields and the Local Plan (SE):

A Plans Summary (NWFRA 21 Jan) was circulated and is attached to these minutes. SE has asked Waverley about building 4,800 houses at Dunsfold and why they have not even considered doing this. Waverley say they will not assess this number. Farnham could have to build over 2,000 houses if there are only 1,800 built at Dunsfold. WBC claim they have not yet received a transport report based on 1,800 houses, despite the fact that it should have been received in June.

Neighbourhood Plan: The meeting on 14th January was only about the SANGS policy. Carole Cockburn's objective is to get a Neighbourhood Plan in place as soon as possible as it is better to have something in place for planning applications to be judged against, rather than nothing. She thinks the Plan needs to back away from having on-site SANGS. However, the number of houses which have to be built has been increased and therefore the basis of consultation for the Neighbourhood Plan is incorrect. Carole Cockburn is saying that the sites already in the pipeline and those in the Plan might add up to approximately what WBC is proposing. All is very uncertain at present.

The land north of the Hopfields is not protected in the NP and SE has asked Carole about it but has not had a reply. She has said it will be considered on 5th February.

Rachel Aves notice (attached to minutes): This was circulated and discussed. The boundary of the built up area needs to be re-drawn so that it does not include the field north of the Hopfields and the Three Stiles field and SE suggested writing to the Neighbourhood Plan group again requesting this. The final version of the NP has not been produced yet.

SE

There is a meeting about the NP on 6th February, called by the NP team and JW pointed out that Carole Cockburn has stated that the proposed sites (including land to the rear of Three Stiles Road) are not up for discussion as they have already been approved, and it is the design only which is being considered. SE should make it clear at the meeting just how many people voted against the Hopfields development. The developer has already offered the top field as green space and the town boundary should not include it.

SE

Additional protection for N W Farnham (attached to minutes): This was discussed. SE suggested that Map A should be changed so that it excludes the top field and the Three Stiles field from the built up area and discrepancies with the landscape designations, eg, AGLV, AONB, should be sorted out.

Coxbridge: a plan has been issued by Steve Thwaites which includes what Ken Kenward wants – the least valuable agricultural land to be developed whilst he improves the environmental quality of the rest and provides educational opportunities. It was pointed out that it is not possible to build anywhere in Farnham without increasing traffic problems and this proposed development will also increase pollution levels in the town. 200 houses are planned.

An answer to our question about landscape designations is needed before the consultation document is published and Carole Cockburn said that she will provide an answer at the meeting on 6th February which will be attended by PM, SE and JW.

PM/SE
/JW

Any Other Business:

Upper Old Park Lane (JW): A screening application has gone in for the field next to Upper Old Park Lane and there is increasing interest for a residents association to be formed for the area. The proposed field is in the AGLV and the residents want to protect it. Sheila Scott is behind the

JW

move to form an association and JW suggested that she should attend meetings of the NWFRA. JW will send Shelia's e-mail address to JR.
Sue Farrow has mentioned the Royal Institute of British Architects meeting, "Recrafting Farnham", on Saturday 30th January in the Barley Room at the Maltings and this is on the website.

Date of next meeting: To be confirmed.

PM/JR